

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET				
SUBJECT: (Optional) Inspection Report of the Office of Public Affairs				
FROM: Acting Director of Security 4E60, Hdqs		EXTENSION <input type="text"/>	NO.	STAT
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE <table border="1" style="width: 100px; height: 20px; margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"></table>	OFFICER'S INITIALS <input type="text"/>	STAT
		RECEIVED <input type="checkbox"/>	FORWARDED <input type="checkbox"/>	
COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.) 1. EO/DDA 7D24 Hdqs 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15.				

20 JUL 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Officer, DDA

25X1

FROM: [REDACTED]

Acting Director of Security

SUBJECT: Inspection Report of the
Office of Public Affairs

1. The Office of Security (OS) has reviewed subject Report and concurs with the findings and recommendations, with one exception.

2. The last paragraph on page 16 deals with the premise that the most effective step to discourage writing by former employees would be to enhance the exit briefing. As is noted, OS currently deals with this subject in its exit briefing. However, we do not share the belief of the Office of the Inspector General that an expanded briefing on the matter of post-employment writing should be assigned to the Counterintelligence Staff (CI Staff). The OS has a counterintelligence unit, the Security Analysis Group, that can supply the expertise appropriate to preparation of an enhanced briefing. Further, if the need arises, input can be obtained from the CI Staff. The proposed exit briefing can be compared to Defensive Briefings before travel to hazardous areas. The Directorate of Operations identifies the areas and provides the substantive data that governs the nature of briefing. We see no reason why an expanded exit briefing by OS would be any less successful than the Defensive Briefing we now provide. Within this context, a separate exit briefing by the CI Staff is perceived as unnecessary.

3. On the secondary issue of stressing the danger of overt publications to junior officers and those in mid-career, OS has no objection to this approach. Should the suggestion be adopted, we are in a position to help through the indoctrination and re-indoctrination programs operated by our Security Education Group.

25X1

[REDACTED]
ALL PORTIONS OF THIS MEMORANDUM
ARE CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL

OS 1 1452-A

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL